Questions and Answers

A GLOBAL THERMODYNAMIC INEQUALITY

In the course of writing a monograph on nonequilibrium thermodynamics, I found it necessary to consider the following theorem in ordinary, equilibrium thermodynamics¹:

Theorem: For any two equilibrium states (T_{α}, P_{α}) , (T_{β}, P_{β}) of a fixed mass of a pure (one-component) fluid such that $P_{\alpha} = P_{\beta} \equiv P$ and such that in the equilibrium phase diagram in the T, P plane the straight-line segment joining the states (T_{α}, P) and (T_{β}, P) does not intersect a two-phase coexistence line, it is always true that

$$V_{\alpha} - T_{\alpha} (\partial V_{\alpha} / \partial T_{\alpha})_{P} + T_{\beta} (\partial V_{\alpha} / \partial T_{\alpha})_{P} > 0$$

or, equivalently, that

$$T_{\beta}(\partial V_{\alpha}/\partial T_{\alpha})_{P} - \mu_{\alpha}C_{P}(\alpha) > 0$$

where μ is the Joule-Thomson coefficient, $\mu \equiv (\partial T/\partial P)_H$.

The familiar inequalities of equilibrium thermodynamics ($C_{\nu} > 0$, etc.) deal with the local, in-the-small, properties (curvature, etc.) of appropriate thermodynamic surfaces; the inequality in the above theorem, however, deals with the global, in-the-large, features (convexity, etc.) of an appropriate thermodynamic surface. In the time since I first came across this theorem, I have been unable either to prove it or to disprove it.

I therefore wish to call to the attention of the readers of this journal the above theorem and to leave it as a challenge for them:

- 1. Prove or disprove the theorem.
- 2. If the theorem can be proved to be true, can it be generalized by dropping one or both of the restrictive conditions?

R. J. Tykodi Department of Chemistry Southeastern Massachusetts University North Dartmouth, Massachusetts

¹ R. J. Tykodi, Thermodynamics of Steady State, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1967, pp. 59-61, 168.